



Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form

Instructions: Please complete one (1) Mitigation Action Form for each proposed mitigation action or project with as much detail as possible.

Name:

Organization/Department: Kensington Police Protection & Community Services District (KPPCSD)

E-mail:

Phone:

New Mitigation Action (Please Describe)

Continue fuel reduction programs in the park. Coordinate with Kensington Fire Protection District (KFPD) and East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD) in reducing fuel load along the Wildland-Urban Interface.

Year Initiated (i.e. 2024)	2024
Applicable Jurisdiction/Special District	KPPCSD
Lead Agency/Organization	KPPCSD
Supporting Agencies/Organizations (if applicable)	KFPD, EBRPD
Potential Funding Source <small>Examples: Local Budgeted Funds, Local or State Special Taxes, Private/Non-Profit Funds, State Special Funds, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), FEMA Public Assistance (PA)</small>	Local Budgeted Funds, State Special Funds (CAL Fire), Local Special Funds (Measure X)
Estimated Cost (if estimated cost is unknown, indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
Benefits (Indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
Projected Duration (if estimated duration is unknown, indicate Short Term, Long Term, or Ongoing)	Ongoing
PRIORITY (High, Medium, Low)	High

Please indicate if the mitigation goals below are applicable to the new mitigation action/project). Check All That Apply.

X	Place an "X" by the applicable goals, if applicable
X	Goal 1 Save (or protect) lives and reduce injury.
	Goal 2 Increase resilience of infrastructure and critical facilities.
X	Goal 3 Avoid (minimize or reduce) damage to property.
X	Goal 4 Encourage the development and implementation of long-term, cost-effective, and environmentally sound mitigation projects.
	Goal 5 Build and support capacity to enable local government and the public to prepare for, respond to and recover from the impact of natural hazards.



This mitigation action:

The mitigation strategies/actions will be prioritized and evaluated using the STAPLEE+E method, which uses eight (8) criteria for evaluating a mitigation action – Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, Environmental, and Equity. Additional considerations are within each of these criteria. Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from one (1) to five (5), with one (1) defined as strongly disagree and five (5) as strongly agree. The summation will result in the STAPLEE+E Prioritization Score.

Instructions: Circle the best option

	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neither Agree or Disagree (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)
Social: Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is more likely to: be acceptable to the community, does not adversely affect a particular segment of the population, does not cause relocation of lower-income people, and is compatible with the community's social and cultural values?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
Technical: Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is technically effective in providing a long-term reduction of losses and has minimal secondary adverse impacts?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
Administrative: Do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction/organization has the necessary staffing and funding to carry-out this mitigation action?	1	2	<input type="radio"/>	4	5
Political: Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action has the support of the public and stakeholders who have been offered an opportunity to participate in the planning process?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
Legal: Do you agree or disagree that the jurisdiction or implementing agency has the legal authority to implement and enforce the mitigation action?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
Economic: Budget constraints can significantly deter the implementation of mitigation actions. Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is cost-effective, as determined by a cost-benefit review, and is possible to fund?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
Environmental: Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is sustainable and does not have an adverse effect on the environment, complies with federal, state, and local environmental regulations, and is consistent with the community's environmental goals?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
Equity: Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation actions are consistent and systematically fair? (i.e., Does not create an opportunity for unequal distribution of resources; racism; affect a particular segment of the population, including communities of color, communities that face discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, persons with disabilities, persons who identify with a certain religion, persons with Limited English Proficiency, or rural communities, etc.).	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>

Place an "X" by the hazard(s) this action/project will mitigate:

Mitigated Hazards	
X	Place an "X" by the applicable hazard
	All Hazards
	Atmospheric River
X	Climate Change
	Dam and Levee Failure
X	Drought
	Earthquake
	Extreme Heat
	Flood (Riverine/Creek)
	Flood (Flash Flooding)
	Heavy Rain
	High Winds/Damaging Winds
	Landslide
	Sea Level Rise
	Thunderstorm
	Tornadoes
	Tsunami
X	Wildfire
	Active Shooter/Active Assailant
	Cybersecurity
	Hazardous Materials Incidents
	Utility Interruptions
	Terrorism/ Weapons of Mass Destruction
	Other