



---

Date: January 11, 2024  
To: Board of Directors  
From: David Aranda, Interim General Manager  
Subject: Discussion and Input Regarding the Hazard Mitigation Plan

---

### **Recommendation**

The General Manager welcomes comments in what was submitted to the County for hazard mitigation projects. The General Manager is recommending February 8, 2024 at 6:00 p.m. for a town hall meeting for the residents of Kensington on this subject.

### **Background**

The District's participation in the Countywide Hazard Mitigation plan is critical. It is the only way the District may participate in FEMA grants over the next five years. Attached are the hazard mitigation projects the District submitted to the County/Contractor. All agencies are working on a very short time frame in order to finish the plan, have it approved, and thus be able to submit grants to FEMA in 2024. With that said, input from board members would be appreciated regarding the plans submitted and questions regarding the process are welcome.

There is a plan to hold a general town hall meeting on February 8, 2024, at 6 p.m. to present the hazard mitigation projects to the public for input.

### **Exhibits**

- Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form #1
- Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form #2
- Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form #3
- Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form #4
- Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form #5



Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form

**Instructions:** Please complete one (1) Mitigation Action Form for each proposed mitigation action or project with as much detail as possible.

**Name:**

**Organization/Department:** Kensington Police Protection & Community Services District (KPPCSD)

**E-mail:**

**Phone:**

**New Mitigation Action** (Please Describe)

Design and construct a police facility that meets applicable seismic code requirements for essential services buildings and meets standards for optimal fire resistance.

<b>Year Initiated</b> (i.e. 2024)	2024
<b>Applicable Jurisdiction/Special District</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Lead Agency/Organization</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Supporting Agencies/Organizations</b> (if applicable)	County
<b>Potential Funding Source</b> <small>Examples: Local Budgeted Funds, Local or State Special Taxes, Private/Non-Profit Funds, State Special Funds, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), FEMA Public Assistance (PA)</small>	Federal, Private/Non-Profit Funds, Local Special Taxes
<b>Estimated Cost</b> (if estimated cost is unknown, indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
<b>Benefits</b> (Indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
<b>Projected Duration</b> (if estimated duration is unknown, indicate Short Term, Long Term, or Ongoing)	Short Term
<b>PRIORITY</b> (High, Medium, Low)	High

Please indicate if the mitigation goals below are applicable to the new mitigation action/project). Check All That Apply.

X	Place an "X" by the applicable goals, if applicable
X	<b>Goal 1</b> Save (or protect) lives and reduce injury.
X	<b>Goal 2</b> Increase resilience of infrastructure and critical facilities.
X	<b>Goal 3</b> Avoid (minimize or reduce) damage to property.
	<b>Goal 4</b> Encourage the development and implementation of long-term, cost-effective, and environmentally sound mitigation projects.
	<b>Goal 5</b> Build and support capacity to enable local government and the public to prepare for, respond to and recover from the impact of natural hazards.



**This mitigation action:**

The mitigation strategies/actions will be prioritized and evaluated using the STAPLEE+E method, which uses eight (8) criteria for evaluating a mitigation action – Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, Environmental, and Equity. Additional considerations are within each of these criteria. Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from one (1) to five (5), with one (1) defined as strongly disagree and five (5) as strongly agree. The summation will result in the STAPLEE+E Prioritization Score.

Instructions: Circle the best option

	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neither Agree or Disagree (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)
<b>Social:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is more likely to: be acceptable to the community, does not adversely affect a particular segment of the population, does not cause relocation of lower-income people, and is compatible with the community's social and cultural values?	1	2	3	4	0
<b>Technical:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is technically effective in providing a long-term reduction of losses and has minimal secondary adverse impacts?	1	2	3	4	0
<b>Administrative:</b> Do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction/organization has the necessary staffing and funding to carry-out this mitigation action?	1	0	3	4	5
<b>Political:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action has the support of the public and stakeholders who have been offered an opportunity to participate in the planning process?	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Legal:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the jurisdiction or implementing agency has the legal authority to implement and enforce the mitigation action?	1	2	3	4	0
<b>Economic:</b> Budget constraints can significantly deter the implementation of mitigation actions. Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is cost-effective, as determined by a cost-benefit review, and is possible to fund?	1	2	3	0	5
<b>Environmental:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is sustainable and does not have an adverse effect on the environment, complies with federal, state, and local environmental regulations, and is consistent with the community's environmental goals?	1	2	3	4	0
<b>Equity:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation actions are consistent and systematically fair? (i.e., Does not create an opportunity for unequal distribution of resources; racism; affect a particular segment of the population, including communities of color, communities that face discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, persons with disabilities, persons who identify with a certain religion, persons with Limited English Proficiency, or rural communities, etc.).	1	2	3	4	5

**Place an "X" by the hazard(s) this action/project will mitigate:**

Mitigated Hazards	
X	Place an "X" by the applicable hazard
	All Hazards
	Atmospheric River
	Climate Change
	Dam and Levee Failure
	Drought
X	Earthquake
	Extreme Heat
	Flood (Riverine/Creek)
	Flood (Flash Flooding)
	Heavy Rain
	High Winds/Damaging Winds
	Landslide
	Sea Level Rise
	Thunderstorm
	Tornadoes
	Tsunami
	Wildfire
	Active Shooter/Active Assailant
	Cybersecurity
	Hazardous Materials Incidents
	Utility Interruptions
	Terrorism/ Weapons of Mass Destruction
	Other



Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form

**Instructions:** Please complete one (1) Mitigation Action Form for each proposed mitigation action or project with as much detail as possible.

**Name:**

**Organization/Department:** Kensington Police Protection & Community Services District (KPPCSD)

**E-mail:**

**Phone:**

**New Mitigation Action** (Please Describe)

Upgrade park facilities including the Recreation Building to provide seismic protection, wildfire resistance and solar generation.

<b>Year Initiated</b> (i.e. 2024)	2024
<b>Applicable Jurisdiction/Special District</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Lead Agency/Organization</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Supporting Agencies/Organizations</b> (if applicable)	County
<b>Potential Funding Source</b> <small>Examples: Local Budgeted Funds, Local or State Special Taxes, Private/Non-Profit Funds, State Special Funds, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), FEMA Public Assistance (PA)</small>	State Special Funds(CA Strategic Growth Council, Community Resilience Centers Program), BRIC
<b>Estimated Cost</b> (if estimated cost is unknown, indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
<b>Benefits</b> (Indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
<b>Projected Duration</b> (if estimated duration is unknown, indicate Short Term, Long Term, or Ongoing)	Short term
<b>PRIORITY</b> (High, Medium, Low)	High

Please indicate if the mitigation goals below are applicable to the new mitigation action/project). Check All That Apply.

X	Place an "X" by the applicable goals, if applicable
X	<b>Goal 1</b> Save (or protect) lives and reduce injury.
X	<b>Goal 2</b> Increase resilience of infrastructure and critical facilities.
X	<b>Goal 3</b> Avoid (minimize or reduce) damage to property.
	<b>Goal 4</b> Encourage the development and implementation of long-term, cost-effective, and environmentally sound mitigation projects.
	<b>Goal 5</b> Build and support capacity to enable local government and the public to prepare for, respond to and recover from the impact of natural hazards.



**This mitigation action:**

The mitigation strategies/actions will be prioritized and evaluated using the STAPLEE+E method, which uses eight (8) criteria for evaluating a mitigation action – Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, Environmental, and Equity. Additional considerations are within each of these criteria. Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from one (1) to five (5), with one (1) defined as strongly disagree and five (5) as strongly agree. The summation will result in the STAPLEE+E Prioritization Score.

Instructions: Circle the best option

	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neither Agree or Disagree (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)
<b>Social:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is more likely to: be acceptable to the community, does not adversely affect a particular segment of the population, does not cause relocation of lower-income people, and is compatible with the community's social and cultural values?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Technical:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is technically effective in providing a long-term reduction of losses and has minimal secondary adverse impacts?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Administrative:</b> Do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction/organization has the necessary staffing and funding to carry-out this mitigation action?	1	<input type="radio"/>	3	4	5
<b>Political:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action has the support of the public and stakeholders who have been offered an opportunity to participate in the planning process?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Legal:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the jurisdiction or implementing agency has the legal authority to implement and enforce the mitigation action?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Economic:</b> Budget constraints can significantly deter the implementation of mitigation actions. Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is cost-effective, as determined by a cost-benefit review, and is possible to fund?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Environmental:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is sustainable and does not have an adverse effect on the environment, complies with federal, state, and local environmental regulations, and is consistent with the community's environmental goals?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Equity:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation actions are consistent and systematically fair? (i.e., Does not create an opportunity for unequal distribution of resources; racism; affect a particular segment of the population, including communities of color, communities that face discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, persons with disabilities, persons who identify with a certain religion, persons with Limited English Proficiency, or rural communities, etc.).	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>

Place an "X" by the hazard(s) this action/project will mitigate:

Mitigated Hazards	
X	Place an "X" by the applicable hazard
	All Hazards
	Atmospheric River
X	Climate Change
	Dam and Levee Failure
	Drought
X	Earthquake
	Extreme Heat
	Flood (Riverine/Creek)
	Flood (Flash Flooding)
	Heavy Rain
	High Winds/Damaging Winds
	Landslide
	Sea Level Rise
	Thunderstorm
	Tornadoes
	Tsunami
X	Wildfire
	Active Shooter/Active Assailant
	Cybersecurity
	Hazardous Materials Incidents
	Utility Interruptions
	Terrorism/ Weapons of Mass Destruction
	Other



Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form

**Instructions:** Please complete one (1) Mitigation Action Form for each proposed mitigation action or project with as much detail as possible.

**Name:**

**Organization/Department:** Kensington Police Protection & Community Services District (KPPCSD)

**E-mail:**

**Phone:**

**New Mitigation Action** (Please Describe)

Continue fuel reduction programs in the park. Coordinate with Kensington Fire Protection District (KFPD) and East Bay Regional Park District (EBRPD) in reducing fuel load along the Wildland-Urban Interface.

<b>Year Initiated</b> (i.e. 2024)	2024
<b>Applicable Jurisdiction/Special District</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Lead Agency/Organization</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Supporting Agencies/Organizations</b> (if applicable)	KFPD, EBRPD
<b>Potential Funding Source</b> <small>Examples: Local Budgeted Funds, Local or State Special Taxes, Private/Non-Profit Funds, State Special Funds, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), FEMA Public Assistance (PA)</small>	Local Budgeted Funds, State Special Funds (CAL Fire), Local Special Funds (Measure X)
<b>Estimated Cost</b> (if estimated cost is unknown, indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
<b>Benefits</b> (Indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
<b>Projected Duration</b> (if estimated duration is unknown, indicate Short Term, Long Term, or Ongoing)	Ongoing
<b>PRIORITY</b> (High, Medium, Low)	High

Please indicate if the mitigation goals below are applicable to the new mitigation action/project). Check All That Apply.

X	Place an "X" by the applicable goals, if applicable
X	<b>Goal 1</b> Save (or protect) lives and reduce injury.
	<b>Goal 2</b> Increase resilience of infrastructure and critical facilities.
X	<b>Goal 3</b> Avoid (minimize or reduce) damage to property.
X	<b>Goal 4</b> Encourage the development and implementation of long-term, cost-effective, and environmentally sound mitigation projects.
	<b>Goal 5</b> Build and support capacity to enable local government and the public to prepare for, respond to and recover from the impact of natural hazards.



**This mitigation action:**

The mitigation strategies/actions will be prioritized and evaluated using the STAPLEE+E method, which uses eight (8) criteria for evaluating a mitigation action – Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, Environmental, and Equity. Additional considerations are within each of these criteria. Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from one (1) to five (5), with one (1) defined as strongly disagree and five (5) as strongly agree. The summation will result in the STAPLEE+E Prioritization Score.

Instructions: Circle the best option

	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neither Agree or Disagree (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)
<b>Social:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is more likely to: be acceptable to the community, does not adversely affect a particular segment of the population, does not cause relocation of lower-income people, and is compatible with the community's social and cultural values?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Technical:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is technically effective in providing a long-term reduction of losses and has minimal secondary adverse impacts?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Administrative:</b> Do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction/organization has the necessary staffing and funding to carry-out this mitigation action?	1	2	<input type="radio"/>	4	5
<b>Political:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action has the support of the public and stakeholders who have been offered an opportunity to participate in the planning process?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Legal:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the jurisdiction or implementing agency has the legal authority to implement and enforce the mitigation action?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Economic:</b> Budget constraints can significantly deter the implementation of mitigation actions. Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is cost-effective, as determined by a cost-benefit review, and is possible to fund?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Environmental:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is sustainable and does not have an adverse effect on the environment, complies with federal, state, and local environmental regulations, and is consistent with the community's environmental goals?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Equity:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation actions are consistent and systematically fair? (i.e., Does not create an opportunity for unequal distribution of resources; racism; affect a particular segment of the population, including communities of color, communities that face discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, persons with disabilities, persons who identify with a certain religion, persons with Limited English Proficiency, or rural communities, etc.).	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>

Place an "X" by the hazard(s) this action/project will mitigate:

Mitigated Hazards	
X	Place an "X" by the applicable hazard
	All Hazards
	Atmospheric River
X	Climate Change
	Dam and Levee Failure
X	Drought
	Earthquake
	Extreme Heat
	Flood (Riverine/Creek)
	Flood (Flash Flooding)
	Heavy Rain
	High Winds/Damaging Winds
	Landslide
	Sea Level Rise
	Thunderstorm
	Tornadoes
	Tsunami
X	Wildfire
	Active Shooter/Active Assailant
	Cybersecurity
	Hazardous Materials Incidents
	Utility Interruptions
	Terrorism/ Weapons of Mass Destruction
	Other



Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form

**Instructions:** Please complete one (1) Mitigation Action Form for each proposed mitigation action or project with as much detail as possible.

**Name:**

**Organization/Department:** Kensington Police Protection & Community Services District (KPPCSD)

**E-mail:**

**Phone:**

**New Mitigation Action** (Please Describe)

Coordinate with the Kensington Fire Protection District (KFPD) to install an early warning system using Long Range Acoustical Devices.

<b>Year Initiated</b> (i.e. 2024)	2024
<b>Applicable Jurisdiction/Special District</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Lead Agency/Organization</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Supporting Agencies/Organizations</b> (if applicable)	KFPD
<b>Potential Funding Source</b> <small>Examples: Local Budgeted Funds, Local or State Special Taxes, Private/Non-Profit Funds, State Special Funds, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), FEMA Public Assistance (PA)</small>	BRIC, Private/Non Profit Funds, Local Special Funds (Measure X)
<b>Estimated Cost</b> (if estimated cost is unknown, indicate Low, Medium, or High)	
<b>Benefits</b> (Indicate Low, Medium, or High)	
<b>Projected Duration</b> (if estimated duration is unknown, indicate Short Term, Long Term, or Ongoing)	
<b>PRIORITY</b> (High, Medium, Low)	

Please indicate if the mitigation goals below are applicable to the new mitigation action/project). Check All That Apply.

X	Place an "X" by the applicable goals, if applicable
X	<b>Goal 1</b> Save (or protect) lives and reduce injury.
	<b>Goal 2</b> Increase resilience of infrastructure and critical facilities.
	<b>Goal 3</b> Avoid (minimize or reduce) damage to property.
	<b>Goal 4</b> Encourage the development and implementation of long-term, cost-effective, and environmentally sound mitigation projects.
	<b>Goal 5</b> Build and support capacity to enable local government and the public to prepare for, respond to and recover from the impact of natural hazards.





**This mitigation action:**

The mitigation strategies/actions will be prioritized and evaluated using the STAPLEE+E method, which uses eight (8) criteria for evaluating a mitigation action – Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, Environmental, and Equity. Additional considerations are within each of these criteria. Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from one (1) to five (5), with one (1) defined as strongly disagree and five (5) as strongly agree. The summation will result in the STAPLEE+E Prioritization Score.

Instructions: Circle the best option

	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neither Agree or Disagree (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)
<b>Social:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is more likely to: be acceptable to the community, does not adversely affect a particular segment of the population, does not cause relocation of lower-income people, and is compatible with the community's social and cultural values?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Technical:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is technically effective in providing a long-term reduction of losses and has minimal secondary adverse impacts?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Administrative:</b> Do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction/organization has the necessary staffing and funding to carry-out this mitigation action?	1	<input type="radio"/>	3	4	5
<b>Political:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action has the support of the public and stakeholders who have been offered an opportunity to participate in the planning process?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Legal:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the jurisdiction or implementing agency has the legal authority to implement and enforce the mitigation action?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Economic:</b> Budget constraints can significantly deter the implementation of mitigation actions. Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is cost-effective, as determined by a cost-benefit review, and is possible to fund?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Environmental:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is sustainable and does not have an adverse effect on the environment, complies with federal, state, and local environmental regulations, and is consistent with the community's environmental goals?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Equity:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation actions are consistent and systematically fair? (i.e., Does not create an opportunity for unequal distribution of resources; racism; affect a particular segment of the population, including communities of color, communities that face discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, persons with disabilities, persons who identify with a certain religion, persons with Limited English Proficiency, or rural communities, etc.).	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>

Place an "X" by the hazard(s) this action/project will mitigate:

Mitigated Hazards	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Place an "X" by the applicable hazard
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Landslide
<input type="checkbox"/>	All Hazards
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sea Level Rise
<input type="checkbox"/>	Atmospheric River
<input type="checkbox"/>	Thunderstorm
<input type="checkbox"/>	Climate Change
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tornadoes
<input type="checkbox"/>	Dam and Levee Failure
<input type="checkbox"/>	Tsunami
<input type="checkbox"/>	Drought
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Wildfire
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Earthquake
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Active Shooter/Active Assailant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extreme Heat
<input type="checkbox"/>	Cybersecurity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Flood (Riverine/Creek)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Hazardous Materials Incidents
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Flood (Flash Flooding)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Utility Interruptions
<input type="checkbox"/>	Heavy Rain
<input type="checkbox"/>	Terrorism/ Weapons of Mass Destruction
<input type="checkbox"/>	High Winds/Damaging Winds
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other



Contra Costa County HMP New Mitigation Action Form

**Instructions:** Please complete one (1) Mitigation Action Form for each proposed mitigation action or project with as much detail as possible.

**Name:**

**Organization/Department:** Kensington Police Protection & Community Services District (KPPCSD)

**E-mail:**

**Phone:**

**New Mitigation Action** (Please Describe)

Undertake a program of vegetation management along Kensington streets including pruning and tree removal to prevent potential damage to power lines and street blockage during severe weather conditions involving high winds

<b>Year Initiated</b> (i.e. 2024)	2024
<b>Applicable Jurisdiction/Special District</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Lead Agency/Organization</b>	KPPCSD
<b>Supporting Agencies/Organizations</b> (if applicable)	County, PG&E
<b>Potential Funding Source</b> <small>Examples: Local Budgeted Funds, Local or State Special Taxes, Private/Non-Profit Funds, State Special Funds, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), FEMA Public Assistance (PA)</small>	County Funds, Private Funds (PG&E)
<b>Estimated Cost</b> (if estimated cost is unknown, indicate Low, Medium, or High)	Low
<b>Benefits</b> (Indicate Low, Medium, or High)	High
<b>Projected Duration</b> (if estimated duration is unknown, indicate Short Term, Long Term, or Ongoing)	Ongoing
<b>PRIORITY</b> (High, Medium, Low)	High

Please indicate if the mitigation goals below are applicable to the new mitigation action/project). Check All That Apply.

X	Place an "X" by the applicable goals, if applicable
X	<b>Goal 1</b> Save (or protect) lives and reduce injury.
	<b>Goal 2</b> Increase resilience of infrastructure and critical facilities.
X	<b>Goal 3</b> Avoid (minimize or reduce) damage to property.
	<b>Goal 4</b> Encourage the development and implementation of long-term, cost-effective, and environmentally sound mitigation projects.
	<b>Goal 5</b> Build and support capacity to enable local government and the public to prepare for, respond to and recover from the impact of natural hazards.



**This mitigation action:**

The mitigation strategies/actions will be prioritized and evaluated using the STAPLEE+E method, which uses eight (8) criteria for evaluating a mitigation action – Social, Technical, Administrative, Political, Legal, Economic, Environmental, and Equity. Additional considerations are within each of these criteria. Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from one (1) to five (5), with one (1) defined as strongly disagree and five (5) as strongly agree. The summation will result in the STAPLEE+E Prioritization Score.

Instructions: Circle the best option

	Strongly Disagree (1)	Disagree (2)	Neither Agree or Disagree (3)	Agree (4)	Strongly Agree (5)
<b>Social:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is more likely to: be acceptable to the community, does not adversely affect a particular segment of the population, does not cause relocation of lower-income people, and is compatible with the community's social and cultural values?	1	2	<input type="radio"/>	4	5
<b>Technical:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is technically effective in providing a long-term reduction of losses and has minimal secondary adverse impacts?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Administrative:</b> Do you agree or disagree that your jurisdiction/organization has the necessary staffing and funding to carry-out this mitigation action?	1	2	<input type="radio"/>	4	5
<b>Political:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action has the support of the public and stakeholders who have been offered an opportunity to participate in the planning process?	1	2	<input type="radio"/>	4	5
<b>Legal:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the jurisdiction or implementing agency has the legal authority to implement and enforce the mitigation action?	1	<input type="radio"/>	3	4	5
<b>Economic:</b> Budget constraints can significantly deter the implementation of mitigation actions. Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is cost-effective, as determined by a cost-benefit review, and is possible to fund?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Environmental:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation action is sustainable and does not have an adverse effect on the environment, complies with federal, state, and local environmental regulations, and is consistent with the community's environmental goals?	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
<b>Equity:</b> Do you agree or disagree that the mitigation actions are consistent and systematically fair? (i.e., Does not create an opportunity for unequal distribution of resources; racism; affect a particular segment of the population, including communities of color, communities that face discrimination based on sex, sexual orientation or gender identity, persons with disabilities, persons who identify with a certain religion, persons with Limited English Proficiency, or rural communities, etc.).	1	2	<input type="radio"/>	4	5

Place an "X" by the hazard(s) this action/project will mitigate:

Mitigated Hazards	
X	Place an "X" by the applicable hazard
	All Hazards
	Atmospheric River
X	Climate Change
	Dam and Levee Failure
X	Drought
	Earthquake
	Extreme Heat
	Flood (Riverine/Creek)
	Flood (Flash Flooding)
X	Heavy Rain
X	High Winds/Damaging Winds
	Landslide
	Sea Level Rise
	Thunderstorm
	Tornadoes
	Tsunami
	Wildfire
	Active Shooter/Active Assailant
	Cybersecurity
	Hazardous Materials Incidents
	Utility Interruptions
	Terrorism/ Weapons of Mass Destruction
	Other