

Date: March 10, 2022

To: Board of Directors

From: Ann Danforth, General Counsel

Subject: Resolution for the Board to Continue Holding Remote Meetings

Recommendation:

Review, discuss, amend (as necessary) and adopt the attached Resolution.

Rationale for Recommendation:

The State has adopted Assembly Bill 361, which amends the Brown Act (also known as the California Open Meetings Law) with respect to conducting remote meetings by teleconferencing. Staff believes that the present circumstances meet the criteria for continuing teleconferencing meetings. Adoption of the resolution will authorize the Board of Directors to continue that practice.

Background, Discussion and Analysis:

AB 361, codified as Government Code Section 54953(e)(1), authorizes teleconferenced meetings during a proclaimed state of emergency under the circumstances and criteria discussed at the Board's special meeting on September 28, 2021.¹ At that meeting, the Board determined that the then-current circumstances met those requirements, adopting Resolution 2021-19.

Section 54953(e)(3) limits the duration of each such determination to 30 days. If the state of emergency remains active or state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing, the Board must reconsider the circumstances of the state of emergency within 30 days and determine either that the state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meeting safely in person or that state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing. Accordingly, on October 14, 2021, the Board re-considered the circumstances of the state of emergency and adopted Resolution No. 2021-20, deciding to continue to hold meetings remotely. The Board came to a similar decision on November 11, 2021, December 9, 2021, January 13, 2022, and February 10, 2022, adopting Resolutions 2021-21, 2021-22, 2022-01 and 2022-2

¹ As also discussed at that meeting, the Brown Act also allows teleconferencing under Section 54954(b), but the restrictions are significantly more burdensome.

respectively.

To continue holding teleconferenced meetings during the Covid 19 threat without calling special meetings when the interval between regular meetings exceeds once a month, the Board must regularly reconsider its decision to meet remotely. The attached draft Resolution 2022-03 will authorize continued teleconferenced meetings.

According to some sources, the Omicron variant surge is beginning to abate. However, the CDS continues to recommend wearing masks, especially indoors. See https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/omicron-variant.html. As of February 28, 2022, the Contra Costa County Health Services Department indicates that the daily case rate in the County is decreasing but continues to strongly recommend wearing masks indoors.

https://www.coronavirus.cchealth.org/. Even vaccinated persons are vulnerable to Covid's Delta and Omicron variants and can spread the infection to others, although they tend to be less likely to become seriously ill and are infectious for a shorter period of time (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/variants/delta-variant.html). Moreover, as of the writing of this report, the Center for Disease control continues to recommend social distancing for unvaccinated persons and masks in some circumstances (see https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html as does Contra Costa County (see, e.g., https://www.coronavirus.cchealth.org/prevention, https://www.coronavirus.cchealth.org/prevention, https://www.coronavirus.cchealth.org/prevention, https://www.coronavirus.cchealth.org/prevention, https://www.coronavirus.cchealth.org/prevention).

The Bay Area generally enjoys a strong vaccination rate. However, in Contra Costa County, barely 50% have received the recommended booster shot. https://www.coronavirus.cchealth.org/. In addition, the District cannot assume that all meeting attendees are vaccinated. We do know that many Board meeting attendees are over 65 and therefore considered more vulnerable to Covid. Given all of these factors, and the fact that Governor Newsom's 2020 declaration of a state of emergency remains in effect, staff recommends that the Board continue to meet remotely.

Fiscal Impact:

There is no fiscal impact from approving the Resolution.

Exhibits

- Letter from Contra Costa Health Services Recommendations for Safely Holding Public Meetings
- Resolution No. 2022-03, Making Findings and Electing to Continue to Meet Remotely

Anna M. Roth, RN, MS, MPH Health Services Director Ori Tzvieli, MD Health Officer



OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

1220 Morello, Suite 200 Martinez, CA 94553

Ph (925) 957-5403 Fax (925) 957-2651

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SAFELY HOLDING PUBLIC MEETINGS

Each local government agency is authorized to determine whether to hold public meetings in person, on-line (teleconferencing only), or via a combination of methods. The following are recommendations from the Contra Costa County Health Officer to minimize the risk of COVID 19 transmission during a public meeting.

- Online meetings (i.e. teleconferencing meetings) are strongly recommended as these meetings present the lowest risk of transmission of SARS CoV-2, the virus that causes COVID 19. This is particularly important in light of the current community prevalence rate as of March 1, 2022. Our current trends as of March 1, 2022 in Covid-19 case rate, Covid-19 test positivity, and Covid-19 hospitalizations are decreasing, but cases rates remain high with the Omicron variant of Covid-19 being the predominant variant identified, the impact of which on the spread of Covid-19 has shown to dramatically increase COVID-19 transmission.
- 2. If a local agency determines to hold in-person meetings, offering the public the opportunity to attend via a call-in option or an internet-based service option is recommended, when possible, to give those at higher risk of and/or higher concern about COVID-19 an alternative to participating in person.
- 3. A written safety protocol should be developed and followed. It is recommended that the protocol require social distancing i.e., six feet of separation between attendees and face masking of all attendees.
- 4. Seating arrangements should allow for staff and members of the public to easily maintain at least six-foot distance from one another at all practicable times.
- 5. Consider holding public meetings outdoors. Increasing scientific consensus is that outdoor airflow reduces the risk of COVID-19 transmission compared to indoor spaces. Hosting events outdoors also may make it easier to space staff and members of the public at least 6 feet apart.
- 6. Current evidence is unclear as to the added benefit of temperature checks in addition to symptom checks. We encourage focus on symptom checks as they may screen out individuals with other Covid-19 symptoms besides fever and help reinforce the message to not go out in public if you are not feeling well.
- 7. Consider a voluntary attendance sheet with names and contact information to assist in contact tracing of any cases linked to a public meeting.

Revised 3-1-2022

Sefanit Mekuria

Sefanit Mekuria, MD, MPH
Deputy Health Officer, Contra Costa County



RESOLUTION NO. 2022-03

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE KENSINGTON POLICE PROTECTION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT MAKING FINDINGS AND DETERMING A NEED TO CONTINUE HOLDING REMOTE MEETINGS BY TELECONFERENCE

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District ("District") is committed to preserving public access and participation in Board of Directors' meetings; and

WHEREAS, all meetings of the District's Board are open and public, as required by the Ralph M. Brown Act (Cal. Gov. Code §§ 54950 – 54963), so that any member of the public may attend, participate, and watch the Board conduct its business; and

WHEREAS, Government Code section 54953(e)(1) of the Brown Act, allows a legislative body to hold a teleconference meeting, without compliance with the requirements of Government Code section 54953(b)(3), under any of the following conditions:

- A. State or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing.
- B. The meeting is for the purpose of determining, by majority vote, whether because of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees
- C. The legislative body has determined, by majority vote, that, because of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

WHEREAS, to meet by teleconference under Government Code section 54953(e), the Governor must have proclaimed a state of emergency under the California Emergency Services Act (Govt. Code § 8625 et. seq.); the legislative body must reconsider the circumstances of the state of emergency every thirty days; and the legislative body must find that the state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person and/or state or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing; and

WHEREAS, if the legislative body does not make the above findings every thirty days, it must again determine whether the conditions specified in Government Code section 54953(e)(1) still pertain before holding further teleconferenced meetings under that subsection; and

WHEREAS, on September 28, 2021, October 14, November 11, 2021, December 9, 2021, January 13, 2022, and February 10, 2022, the Board held a special meeting in which it found that then-current circumstances met the criteria of Government Code Section 54953(e)(1)(A) and (C); and

WHEREAS, on March 10, 2022, the Board reviewed the evidence in the records and determined the following by majority vote:

- A. The state of emergency proclaimed by Governor Newsom on March 4, 2020, continues to exist;
- B. State or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing.

- C. Because of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.
- D. The state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of the members to meet safely in person

WHEREAS, many persons who regularly attend Board meetings are over the age of 65 and therefore considered particularly vulnerable to COVID-19; and

WHEREAS, the District provides in the public notices and agendas for all public meetings the online and telephone options for participation in public meetings, protecting the right of the public to address their local officials and to participate in public meetings, and posts this information on the District's website, including instructions on how to access the public meeting remotely.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors has reconsidered the circumstances of the state of emergency; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that State or local officials continue to impose or recommend measures to promote social distancing and that State of Emergency declared by Governor Newsom on March 4, 2020, continues to directly impact the ability of Board members, staff, and the public to meet safely in person; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that because of the aforesaid declared State of Emergency, meeting in person would present an imminent risk to the health or safety of meeting attendees; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board authorizes and directs the General Manager to place on the Board's agenda, at least once monthly, reconsideration of the State of Emergency to determine whether circumstances warrant continuing to meet by teleconference under Government Code section 54953(e)(3); and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board authorizes and directs the General Manager to take all actions necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution including conducting open and public meetings in accordance with Government Code section 54953(e) and other applicable provisions of the Brown Act.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of the Kensington Police Protection and Community Services District upon motion by President Sylvia Hacaj, seconded by Vice-President Logan, on Thursday, the 10th day of March, 2022, by the following vote to wit:

the 10 day of March, 2022, by the following vote to wit.
AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAINED:

	Sylvia Hacaj President, Board of Directors
2 2	ntion was duly and regularly adopted by the Board of Directors of mmunity Services District at the Special Meeting of said Board 2022.
Lynelle M. Lewis District Clerk of the Board	Richard J. Benson Interim General Manger